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[Interviewer]: Hello, my name is Vanessa Butler, and I’m a member of the class of 2011 at Denison University. I am interviewing you to gain a deeper understanding of how marginalized groups use protest to create social transformation by gaining power and influence.

In particular, this study seeks to explore and document the historical origins and dynamics of periods of student protest at Denison by conducting oral history interviews with alumni, students, faculty and administrators who were a part of these periods. We are interested in learning about the factors and conditions that one, brought about protest on campus and two, determine your participation or lack thereof in protest to create social transformation.

Our data collection goal is to complement Denison’s existing special collection in archived materials on student protest by collecting oral narratives from students, faculty and staff that participated in black empowerment protest, the Black Student Union and the development of the Black Studies Center at Denison. Based on our research thus far, Kent State University is the only other college that has a digital archive of oral narratives related to the Kent State shooting.

Your interview will be tape recorded, transcribed and placed in a digital archive available at the Denison University library through its online website - special collections and archives. This interview will take no longer than two hours. You may leave the study at any time. If you decide to stop participating in the study, there will be no penalty to you and you will not lose any benefits to which you are otherwise entitled. Your decision will not affect your future relationship with Denison University. Do you have any questions for this project before we begin?

[Dr. Schilling]: No, I don’t.

[INTERVIEWER]: Okay, at this point I would like for you to read over and sign the consent form we have given you. To participate in this research, you must agree to have your interview tape recorded, transcribed and placed in a digital archive available at the Denison University library website. Do I have your permission to audiotape this interview?

[Dr. Schilling]: Yes, you do.

[INTERVIEWER]: Audiotape recordings will be transcribed and will become a part of this research data along with my notes. The audiotape will contain appropriate attributions to your
preferred name and title, as well as your affiliation with Denison at the time of protest activities. Upon your request, portions of your interview may be labeled confidential and separated from the remainder of your answers. You may make this request at any time during the interview by telling me to keep your answer to a particular question or portion of an answer confidential. I will turn off the audiotape recorder upon your request to make confidential statements. Once you have finished making your confidential statements, please inform me so that I can turn the audiotape recorder back on.

So just to let you know, there’s two sections to your portion of the interview. One being general profile questions and an introductory section along with reflections about periods of political action among black students at Denison. Are you ready to get started?

[Dr. Schilling]: I am.

[INTERVIEWER]: When did you decide that you wanted to become an educator?

[Dr. Schilling]: Basically when I was in college deciding on my major and future direction in life. I decided I would major in history and, if possible, teach history at the college level.

[INTERVIEWER]: Was working at Denison your first experience working at a predominantly white institution and if yes, how did you anticipate this experience?

[Dr. Schilling]: It was not. I had taught a year at DePauw, which was my alma mater. While I was working on my PhD, I went back as a sabbatical replacement and that was a predominantly white institution as well, also private liberal arts. So I was very familiar with this kind of institution when I came to Denison, and Denison was not out of the norm from my own personal experience, obviously, I’m [inaudible]. And though clearly for students of color that we had on campus at that stage and subsequently, was coming into a predominantly white environment.

[INTERVIEWER]: What departments did you teach in at Denison?

[Dr. Schilling]: I’ve basically been associated with the History Department all my years here. I’ve also served in administration as First Year Dean for five years and as Associate Provost for a year. I’ve worked with the Black Studies Committee from probably - I would say, probably got involved in the mid 70s, subsequently.

[INTERVIEWER]: How long were you a faculty member and an administrator?

[Dr. Schilling]: Well, I’ve been a faculty member since 1971 and, as I say, I had six years in administration.
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[INTERVIEWER]: Had you served in one or both of these capacities at other institutions before coming to Denison?
[Dr. Schilling]: Uh, just had taught that year at DePauw and so otherwise it’s been Denison.

[INTERVIEWER]: What types of courses did you teach?

[Dr. Schilling]: My primary area is modern European history, so teaching courses - survey courses in modern Europe, advanced courses on Nazi Germany, the Second World War, late 19th and early 20th century Europe, the Holocaust, etc., and then some major-minor required courses like history and senior seminar, and contributing to the first year seminar program and the honors program.

But the thing that involved me particularly with Black Studies was the teaching of African history. I had a secondary field in African history coming out of graduate school and began to teach that in the mid-seventies, and I continued to teach that until we got a full time African historian at Denison about seven or eight years ago.

And so I have not been teaching African history recently but for that pretty long period of time I taught an introduction to modern Africa course. That was one of the offerings students could take as a part of their Black Studies major or minor and also History majors took and other interested students. So that connection also got me involved in working both on the Black Studies Committee but also with the South African orientation that we did here in the 1980s.

[INTERVIEWER]: Okay, well thank you for sharing a little about your personal background. We’re now going to move forward to discuss your relationship with black students on campus as a professor and as an administrator. So, in your opinion, upon your arrival, and you can speak to your whole time while being here also, what was the climate at Denison like for black students?

[Dr. Schilling]: When I arrived, the campus was still very much shaped by the experiences of 1970-71, when black students had basically engaged in a significant protest action with their allies on campus, about issues related to the curriculum, campus climate for black students, and that had led to, in the spring of 1970, a campus strike, basically, and significant protest.

The affects of that were still very visible when I arrived as a new faculty member. One of the members of the History Department had resigned over the administration’s handling of that issue, and you could see that there were fractures within the faculty, and I’m sure there were some within the student body too, regarding those who supported the strike and decided not to teach during that period and those who did teach and who disagreed with the strategy of the strike.

So it was very evident that that had been a very powerful and explosive moment in the campus history and it had really caused the campus to look very hard at what needed to be done in this area. And out of that protest came some very basic demands, like a hundred African American students on campus. And for a long time the college worked to try to achieve that goal. And so it
was the Black Studies Committee working with admissions for example, to enhance the recruitment issues.

Then there were issues once you got them - the students here - of retention. Retention was an issue. So, and then developing the curriculum to support a Black Studies Program. So all of these things were very much an outgrowth of that initial protest.

And so we did see expansion of numbers, we could see development of the curriculum, we did see they had gotten the position of Director of Black Studies and some other - but still, there was often frustration over the rate of progress, and it was still a predominantly white campus, which there were difficulties for…
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[Dr. Schilling] African American students and other students of color on campus. That – social interactions and racial issues would come up. Lack of sensitivity in the classroom - these kinds of things that made it a challenge and required continued work by people who were committed to making the campus a much better place for the diversity of students that we wanted to have here.

[Interviewer]: In your personal view, were there events that were occurring nationally and/or internationally that mirrored what was occurring on campus at the time?

[Dr. Schilling]: I think certainly the issues that were galvanizing the campus here were ones that had galvanized other campuses earlier. Obviously, as a small campus in central Ohio, we were not like Berkeley and Wisconsin and some of the other places which were the cutting edge of protest. It took longer to reach a place like Denison, but Denison felt that impact certainly. I mean, this was a reflection both of civil rights actions and also protest over, you know, Kent State was happening right about the same time. And so, that had an impact, I’m sure, though I was not here at that moment, but I’m sure that had an impact.

And then subsequently, if we look at much more recent events of the November 2007 protests, that was shaped by a national context too, by what was happening in other parts of the country; the noose symbol and all of that would not have had the same, probably the same kind of impact here had it not been for that national context and the group in Louisiana. Was it Louisiana or Mississippi?

[Interviewer]: Louisiana.

[Dr. Schilling]: So, yeah, I think there have been parallels. Now, there’s also a campus dynamic, and some events that occurred on campus. There was nothing nationally that you could point to at that moment, but certainly the national dialogue about difficulties with race have had an impact on this campus, I’m sure.
[INTERVIEWER]: Did you find that Black students were distressed about some of their experiences here at Denison? And what, in your view, were some of these things that Black students were most dissatisfied with or distressed about? I know that you spoke about from the origins of 1970, the black demands and lack of students of color on campus and the lack of a curriculum that catered or enhanced the educational experience, but what other things could you note?

[Dr. Schilling]: Well, I think from a faculty perspective, I know that one of the things we worked on in Black Studies and with others who were concerned about this, was creating a better classroom climate. So we would find students reporting some of the behaviors of faculty were - reflected a lack of sensitivity.

When a student, for example, was singled out to give the black perspective in class, you know, the faculty member’s intention was to hear somebody who’s an expert, right? But making the assumption that they’re an expert on the black experience, as if there’s one experience. And all of those kinds of issues tended to be problematic, right?

Or in some cases, more overt comments that would be made that would reflect the lack of sensitivity to diversity. In some cases, students feeling marginalized within the classroom. Issues of support brought more broadly outside the immediate relationship with the professor in the class.

But the need for the better support service was always a theme here that has led to the creation of positions like the Multicultural Affairs office. I think that directly grew out of the protest in the…
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[Dr. Schilling]: responses to the protest in the late eighties. And then the social environment, I think - things would be written on student doors, or appear in class or something would be said to a student walking across campus from a passing car, a racial slur or something of that sort.

So there were those sorts of things that created a - there was always a - maybe it hadn’t happened to an individual directly but they had heard the story from somebody else. And so, it was always there, that the climate wasn’t as receptive and supportive as students would like.

So I think that, while there were periods in which normal life went on without protest, there was always, you know, there were undercurrents, things that needed to be addressed and worked on, and that we were not satisfied with where we were and the students weren’t satisfied with the speed at which we were addressing some of these issues or making – transforming the college climate.
That’s something we still work on because these issues are not resolved in our larger society and they certainty get reflected on campus, too. So I think that is an ongoing problem. I think that we’ve made a lot of progress in a variety of ways.

One other point I would say is diversity in the staff as well, in the faculty and staff. There we have struggled, I think, to achieve some of the levels of diversity that we’ve now achieved in the student body. We’re working on that but it’s not as quick a process as we’d like to see in terms of the numbers. But yeah, that’s another area.

[INTERVIEWER]: Do you find that there are a large number of prospective faculty who are of color that want to come to Denison?

[Dr. Schilling]: You know, my experience has been primarily linked to work in the History Department, and in some areas we will have a pretty substantial pool of applicants, and - very hard to identify many candidates of color in that population. There are other areas in which we’ve had more candidates and it depends in the part of the field. For example, if you’re doing a search in medieval European history, you find, you may not have any candidates of color or, particularly, people who are African American in that pool.

We do a search for an African American historian - somebody to teach African American history - and the pool is more diverse. But we’ve had cases too where we’ve had offers, made offers to our first choice candidate who was African American, and chose to go elsewhere or not to come here for whatever reason. And so it’s- yes, at times we’ve worked to diversify the pools and I think we’ve done a better job, but it’s uneven in terms of the number of candidates you might have.

[INTERVIEWER]: Did you ever serve as a mentor or counselor to students, specifically in times of heightened racial conflict on campus?

[Dr. Schilling]: At times I’ve had opportunities to work pretty closely with African Americans, students - more broadly students of color. Whether I’ve been a mentor during a time of heightened protest, I’d have to say I can’t point my finger to a - playing that kind of role. I’d say my role has been one of providing support and being engaged with those issues through my work in Black Studies and through my general commitment to wanting to see Denison become a college that really reflected the diversity of society and was a place where all students could be nurtured…
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[Dr. Schilling]: and find their future direction. So, you know, that general commitment has led me to be involved, but I’m not somebody probably given my area, but the closest I got to work with students were probably three areas.
One as - in teaching African History, I would have my largest number of students of color in any class in that course. And even there, it was not large numbers. And, but I did have an opportunity to work closely with some students in that educational setting, and I think I tried to be effective when working with them. Though at times there were differences of opinion as you might expect, over interpretation of the past and as a white male who is not from Africa or not a person of color, I’ve got a certain positional stance that’s not going to immediately suggest that I’m somebody that you want to come to.

The second area that I worked very closely with students of color was in the South African program that we ran the orientation for in the 1980s, and a number of our staff people were Denison students of color, African American, and that situation - very intense, very demanding role, and yet very rewarding. And I was able to develop very close relationships with students in that setting, as well as with students from South Africa who were coming through the program, some of whom remained on campus. And that was an eye-opening experience.

One of the things that I’d say about it is, here I was the director of the program, but I was operating within a, you know, all of the sudden I was surrounded by a hundred and thirty black South Africans who are creating their own culture at Denison in the summer. And I was a minority. So I had to learn how to negotiate that and that was a very good learning experience for me.

And the third area was when I was serving as Dean of First Year Students working with staff for orientation programs and we would have a diverse staff and I’d be able to work closely with those students. But those were not particularly situations where there was immediate protest that was going on, but a chance to really work in other contexts with the students. So in the South African program at times it was pretty volatile, with difficult political issues in South Africa, and continued to be reflected in the people who came here.

[Interviewer]: Do you have any specialized training in the area of diversity and if yes, was this training provided through Denison or another institution?

[Dr. Schilling]: Essentially through Denison, and I would answer yes to that question. Over the years I’ve done many workshops, diversity training. Some done by our own people - people like John Jackson, Toni King. Most recently we did a series for faculty - diversity in the classroom and teaching a diverse classroom. I worked that year - first year I was an associate provost, and worked together with John and Toni, putting together a workshop that, in part, was attempting to respond to some of the issues of race in November ‘07 protests. So both in helping to organize and play some kind of role in leadership in those but, more often, as a participant – general participant - in workshops that have been done on campus for faculty development.

[Interviewer]: Describe a time of political and or social change at Denison and would you tell me about your roll in this moment among black students on campus.
[Dr. Schilling]: Well, I’d say the most recent events of 2007 was an important moment of political and social change. My role was one of…
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[Dr. Schilling]: participation in the activities, either acting with faculty and students around the issues raised. I was in attendance at the all campus forum, and observed those – observed what happened, participated in table conversation and then, as the day developed, with listening to all the comments, largely by students at the microphone.

And then, at the follow up to that the next day, when things had not ended, necessarily on a harmonious note the night before. Then the protest out at the flagpole, going out and being there. Choosing then to speak myself at one point at the microphone about the ways in which we need to learn from one another but that learning could take place around the flagpole, but might also take place in class.

And so, the whole issue of, do you go in and disrupt classes, and is that a good strategy, and what are the consequences of that, how does that go along with the mission of giving people space to express themselves. Even if people are in a classroom, they might well be sitting talking about these issues in a way that’s very conducive to the achievement of greater understanding.

So, being part of that experience and then feeling that an interesting change as the day went on, from some of the harsher rhetoric and the tendency to want to go and disrupt, to one of reaching out, and trying to build some bridges and to work through the issues and dialogue. And then that night I went into the dining hall because there was concern again about what the atmosphere was going to be like in the dining hall at that stage, and they asked faculty to go in and I was one who went to Curtis and had dinner with a small group of students.

Again, when we talked about these issues and then, because there was an evening speaker who’s venue had been changed from a campus quad site to veggie dining room in Curtis, for her talk–she was a former Marine or Army officer who had basically left the military recently and who was talking about issues of gender and sexuality in that context, and some of her struggles. And she gave her talk, but then her talk opened up some of the issues that were very much on campus, and she also had observed what was going on on campus and was saying she was impressed by the way the campus was addressing these issues and the commitment of the students, etc.

So there was a very rich conversation that followed that talk, which I participated. There were probably two hundred students in that room and some faculty and again I had an opportunity to participate personally as well as listen and hear things. I think, you know, who’s to know what is heard from your voice when you speak, but for me it was important to be part of that dialogue.
And then the next day, I think, by that evening two things had happened. There had been some important ties, you know, bonds formed. I mean, some of the barriers, some of the sources of conflict were being addressed in the student body and a lot of students stepped forward to say, I want to work on this, you know, I’m committed to making a difference here. And I was really impressed with what the students had achieved here and the willingness to listen to each other, genuinely listen and genuinely speak from the heart, I thought, often in ways that I had not heard often at Denison. So I was heartened with that.

And then the next day, I think, in part because of some of that, but also I think because people were tired, it’s getting close to Thanksgiving. It was very draining particularly to those who had played a role in pushing these issues, and that next day…
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[Dr. Schilling]: there was a much smaller group around the flagpole and it was more, kind of a celebratory mood in some sense, but it was also much smaller. As people said, I got things I need to do, and turned back to their work. I think conversations were still going on, but in other venues. So, my role was to be an active participant. There were other people who played predominant leadership roles; students, obviously, played important leadership roles, and some other faculty stepped forward in important ways. More than I did, but I considered myself a foot soldier in the process.

[INTERVIEWER]: Looking at the BSU as an advocate for the Black community on campus, how did you view their involvement and leadership within this incident?

[Dr. Schilling]: I thought it was very good. I thought it was a very positive role. They understood the importance of both raising the issues and not letting them slide, but also of seeking to build bridges and to work to broaden the communication.

And again I was not, you know, I was not there to observe the dialogue within the BSU, to know how that all was being sorted out. I’m sure there were issues that were difficult, and differences of opinion on how to proceed, but I was impressed by the overall result.

I just mentioned a second major incident that occurred about eight, ten, I forget exactly. It was during the presidency of Andrew De Rocco, which was in the late eighties, and this was an incident with an African American resident hall advisor who had a racist slur directed at him. I think it was in a note under his door; it may have been directly. And the student who had done this went through a judicial process, but the result of the judgment was not satisfying to many on the campus, particularly to those who were most involved in this, and who felt it was insufficient penalty.
And that led, then, to a series of protests, again around the flagpole, again significant dialogue and speeches and students not going to class. And a figure like Desmond Hamlet played a key role out of the English Department and a member of the Black Studies committee. A voice you often heard at these events as well was Ron Santoni. John Jackson tended to work more in the background but involved.

So that was another galvanizing moment, and it did lead to some change, both on the judgment but also the decision, I think I mentioned before, the creation of the multicultural position that Erik Farley’s now in, came out of that protest. And again, commitment to work on a number of these ongoing issues, and so I would say my role was fairly similar - that is, participant support, occasional comment, but not any leadership role in those.

[INTERVIEWER]: Were you in agreement or disagreement with how the Administration handled, I guess, since you spoke more of what happened in 2007, you can direct your answer to that, with how the Administration handled that situation?

[Dr. Schilling]: Well, I think, overall…
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[Dr. Schilling]:…I would have to say, I thought the Administration handled it pretty well. But there were incidents along the way in which clearly - for example, when students who were concerned about the campus climate issues and the noose in particular, that advertisement, went to the President for conversation about it and the president apparently- I wasn’t there, but what I heard- apparently from the students’ perspective, seemed to be diminishing the significance and importance of the issue. Maybe saying choose your battles and this is not a battle I think you should choose to fight. And his apparently not understanding the significance of that, for those who came to talk with him, that that conversation, rather than providing a coming together, tended to create more divisiveness.

At the convocation itself, there was obviously tension over, do we end at the time that was allotted, or do we continue. And the decision was made to continue, but I think probably students felt it was only made to continue because we insisted. And at the end of that - and again I had left at around six -thirty or seven, so this had happened after I left - that there was apparently a pretty heated exchange between the President and several students over the issues and the way they were responding to each other. So that also. But I think in the end my sense is that the Administration, first by allowing the forum to continue, and to happen, created space for a lot to be expressed.

And secondly, the dialogue didn’t totally break down, even despite that. And the situation around the flagpole, the Administration did not come in with a heavy hand and allowed that to go on.
They worked to promote, I think, an opportunity for exchange. And then coming out of that I think a - from my perspective - a commitment to keep working on these issues and to take it seriously.

So, I think, in contrast, to say what I heard about administrative conduct in the late 1970 issue, where the administration basically adopted a bunker mentality and went off campus and it became an us and them situation that was very polarized. We didn’t see that at nearly the same level. I don’t feel there was ever that kind of polarization.

Now you look at - our Provost was just new in the office - Provost Bateman just new to it- but he made a couple of very positive statements, helpful statements during that process. So, I mean, he was part of the Administration, not just the President who’s administration.

On the ‘87 Administration, did respond to the protest by agreeing to re-examine the case, which had its, had some benefits. It also, for some faculty and others, was a little problematic because it kind of undercut the judicial process that had gone on. And it was probably more, a bit more…
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[Dr. Schilling]:…vision there, though I’m pulling back, trying to pull back memories now that are less fresh.

[INTERVIEWER]: How important did you view your involvement, as well as other staff members, within student protest?

[Dr. Schilling]: Well, I think I’ve given you some sense in my previous comments that my involvement was essentially as a participant, as somebody who was engaged and supportive, but not a leader, not somebody who was sort of shaping strategies or taking a very prominent, visible role. And there were other people who did play that kind of role and I was happy to see them step forward in that way, but I did not play that kind of role.

[INTERVIEWER]: What advice would you give current students and prospective students when racial incidences occur?

[Dr. Schilling]: One, there are people and processes that are in place now that allow individuals to address those and so, one, they need to be addressed, they shouldn’t be swept under the table. And I would say, go to those people, follow those procedures, and hopefully there will be a responsiveness, that they’ll work in a way that helps address the problem.

If the problem is inadequately addressed within that framework, if there’s more that needs to be done, or if another approach needs to be considered, protest remains an option. That’s always an option, and one that should be used if, one, the issue is judged serious enough, two, if it’s the
kind of issue that the campus as a whole needs to engage, and you can effectively do that with that issue. Protest has been vitally important, I think, in moving this institution forward.

There can be good intentions about what we want to achieve, but there’s a lot of pressure on people from a lot of directions, for their time, for their energy. And sometimes it takes the fire of protest to get the attention and direct the energies in places where they need to be.

So I think I’d have to say there are changes that have occurred here over time that have been the result of working through the system; putting your energies in, pushing forward for change. But in key areas there’s often also been a protest that has emerged at a particular time that’s galvanized attention and energy, and said, this is serious, we need to really work much harder on this than we have been.

[INTERVIEWER]: And then just to kind of wrap up and move into more of a broader reflective portion to conclude, as you think back, what do you feel most affirmed about in terms of the role you played during periods of political action?

[Dr. Schilling]: Well, I don’t find - I think by my personality, my general demeanor, etc, I’m not somebody who finds protest particularly comfortable. Probably a lot of people don’t, though there are some people who I think kind of groove on it, who love the confrontation, who love the showdown, who can get into that. That’s not me.

And yet I think it’s very important, going back to my experience as a graduate student at the University of Wisconsin during the Vietnam War period, you know, with the assassination of King and Kennedy etc., where we protested both against the war and issues on campus related to…
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[Dr. Schilling]: African American demands, etc. I thought it was ultimately important to do that, even though it was not particularly comfortable, and I think the same is true on this campus; that I had a role I could play as a faculty member to support students, and I feel good about that. I don’t feel that it was my place, necessarily, to be out in front. That’s not a role for a white male.

[INTERVIEWER]: Is there anything I haven’t asked that you would like to share?

[Dr. Schilling]: I think at this level, you said there’s an opportunity for some reflections at the end, and if there’s anything else that comes up at that stage then that’s fine but no, I think we’ve covered the basics.

[INTERVIEWER]: I mean, if you wanted to reflect upon something that wasn’t necessarily asked through a question.
Well, I would just say, one of the things I haven’t talked about much and isn’t as directly involved - but this was another aspect of protest and this grew out of the South African program, which was very important for me, where I did play a leadership role.

And coming out of that was protest on campus to change our investment policies at the university. We had a shanty on the Quad for several years – at least it seemed like several years - as a symbol of our alignment with the struggle in South Africa, and we got the investment policy of the board changed.

A number of people worked on that - David Woodyard, John Jackson, and others. But the South African - the fact that the South African program was here, that we had articulate engagement on those issue as a result of that program, and I helped to articulate some of that, I think was a place where I had a more visible and important role in ensuring another kind of change on campus.

[Interviewer]: Very nice. I want to thank you so much for taking time out to share a bit of your history and involvement within these critical periods of Denison’s history. So, thank you so much.

[Dr. Schilling]: You’re very welcome, Vanessa. And thank you for doing this project. It’s an important project and I think it’s a very wonderful thing that you’re capturing the memories. How accurate these memories are, it’s an interesting issue. I guess all memories are accurate in some sense. They’re your perceptions. It will be fascinating to see what other people have to say about some of these issues.